

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,596	11/07/2001	Alex E. Henderson	21526-05579 8121		
7590 11/14/2003			EXAMINER		
Alexa Le			QURESHI, AFSAR M		
Intel Corporatio	n				
Santa Clara Four Building (SC4)			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
625 Walsh Avenue			2667	C	
Santa Clara, CA 95052-8119			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Santa Clara, CA 95052-8119

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO 11/07/2001 10/005,596 Alex E. Henderson 21526-05579 8121 7590 10/02/2003 **EXAMINER** Alexa Le QURESHI, AFSAR M **Intel Corporation** 625 Walsh Avenue ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Santa Clara Four Building (SC4) 2667

DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	And	plicant(s)			
Office Action Summany							
		10/005,596		NDERSON ET AL.			
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit			
		Afsar M Qureshi	266				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	Sheet with the corres	spondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory mini vill apply and will expire S , cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely file mum of thirty (30) days will b SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma become ABANDONED (35	ed he considered timely. ailing date of this communication. U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	November 2001 .					
2a)□	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	is action is non-fir	nal.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			cution as to the merits is			
-	closed in accordance with the practice under to of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,12,14,17 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>8,10,11,13,15,16,18,19,21 and 22</u> is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) 23 are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requiremen	t.	·			
Applicat	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		·				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2002</u> is/are						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on			by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	•	arminer.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a priority under 25	1100 5 110(a) (d)	or (f)			
•	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
* 5	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	tilis National Stage			
14)⊠ <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to	a provisional application).			
) \square The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	re of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTC Notice of Informal Patent Other:	D-413) Paper No(s) t Application (PTO-152)			
	<u> </u>						

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to multicasting, classified in class 370, subclass 392.
 - II. Claim 23, drawn to queuing, classified in class 370, subclass 412.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because both combinations have different operations different functions and effects. The subcombination has separate utility such as creating session lock queues; queue flush and queue destroy.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2667

4. During a telephone conversation with Kerry Tweet on 9/12/2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-22. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 23 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7, 9, 12, 14, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michels et al. (US 6,161,144).
- 7. Claims 1-4, 12 and 17. Michels et al. ('Michels' hereinafter) disclose a network switching device 50 (figure 3), receiving network frames and passing the frames to the plurality of search engines 66... (*Search resources*). The search engines determine ports for frames (see fig. 5, also see col. 1, lines 55-61) and transmit the search response to the forwarding ports.

Michels does not specifically disclose a parser generating packet search request. However, as one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that any router, switch or

Art Unit: 2667

(see col. 4, lines 46-65).

network (see figure 3) can be utilized for generating and forwarding a search request via media interface 56 (fig.3). As to claims 3 and 4, Michels further discloses that media interface 56 reformats the frames in response to modification request form the network

Page 4

Claims 5-6. Michels discloses a management processor coupled to search engines that maintains the lookup table in memories 58, 70 (fig.3) wherein search, by search engine, is performed depending on entries in the lookup table (*shortest queue*).

As to claim 6, already discussed that the media interface 56 allows the search engine to transmit the modified frames to particular network depending on decision logic 72 (col. 6, lines 29-57).

Claim 7. All the limitations, including packet resources (network) are already discussed in the rejection of claim1 above.

Claim 9. Network receiving packets via media interface 56 is already discussed in the rejection of claim1 above.

Claim 14. As discussed above forwarding decision logic 72 (fig.3) receives packet response form search engine (second means) and forwards the frames to the requested ports (see col. 6, lines 20-28).

Art Unit: 2667

Claim 20. As discussed in the rejection of claim 1 above, the network switching device 50, disclosed by Michels, is used to transmit the packet response from the packet resource to the network (see figure 5).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Muller et al. (US 5,938,736) disclose switch fabric including multiplayer switch search engine. The switch engine is configured to schedule and perform accesses to the forwarding database and to transfer data packets to the destination ports.

Beauvois (US 6,556,671) discloses routing system using parsed input and performs a data search then routes the communication events to the appropriate ports.

Hendel et al. (US 5,920,566) disclose a routing system configured to identify multicast packets for routing purposes, modify the packets and forward the packets to the next loop.

Art Unit: 2667

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Afsar M Qureshi whose telephone number is (703) 308 8542. The examiner can normally be reached on Compressed (9 Hrs.).

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHI PHAM can be reached on (703) 305 4378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 4700.

Afsar M Qureshi

Examiner Art Unit 2667